Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/720,057	BERNHARD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	PHUONG N. HOANG	2194
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>PHUONG N. HOANG</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Xiaomin Huang</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 25 January 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 12, and 14		
Prior art documents discussed:  n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(A <sub>j</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner considered that the arguments for claim 12 is persuasive. Examiner searched again and could not find all the limitations for the claim. Examiner consulted the allowable subject matter for claim 12 with primary Van Nguyen. After considering, Van Nguyen agreed that claim 12 is allowed. Examiner called and talked to the attorney's assistant because the attorney who worked on the response has left the company. Mr. Huang, a newly assigned attorney for applicant responded. Examiner discussed that claim 12 is allowed, and if applicant would like to keep the system claim set of 14, claim 14 needs to have similar limitations as claim 12. Mr. Huang responded that applicant agreed to amend the claim 14 and add new claims having similar limitations as to allowed claim 12. The newly amended claims including computer readable medium, examiner considered and saw the computer readable medium is non-statutory because it comprises wireless. Examiner contacted Mr. Huang and asked him to amend the claim to be computer readable medium to only cover storage medium, not transmission medium. Mr. Huang agreed to amend the claim as suggested.